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## CHAPTER 1

## Introduction

1.1

| Prob. | Calling <br> Units | Service <br> Function | Discipline | Capacity | No. Servers | No. Stages |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (a) | Airplanes | Landing run- <br> ways | FCFS (PRI <br> in <br> emergency) | Stack <br> $(\approx \infty)$ | No. <br> runways | 1-landing <br> only; <br> 2-landing <br> and <br> taxiing |
| (b) | Filled Gro- <br> cery carts | Checker(and <br> bagger) | FCFS (with <br> jockeying) | $(\approx \infty)$ | With <br> jockeying <br> and channel <br> choice acts <br> like a <br> c-server <br> model | 1 |
| (c) | People | Clerks | same as (b) | same as (b) | same as (b) | 1 |
| (d) | Cars | Paying toll <br> (toll booth) | FCFS | $\infty$ | 1 or more <br> (in fog, acts <br> like indep. <br> single <br> channels no <br> choice or <br> jockeying) | 1 |
| (e) | Cars | Gas filling | FCFS | Finite | No. of <br> pump <br> islands <br> (similar to <br> (b) <br> although <br> jockeying <br> difficult) | 1 |


| (f) | Cars | Car-wash <br> building | FCFS | Finite | Generally 1 | Many, <br> with no <br> storage <br> between <br> stages |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (g) | Calls | Lines in <br> switchboard | FCFS | Finite | No. of lines | 1 |
| (h) | Patients | Doctor <br> (could be <br> batch <br> service) | Fixed as to <br> appoint- <br> ments | Finite <br> seating <br> capacity <br> and waiting <br> room | 1, unless a <br> clinic | Usually 1 <br> but could <br> be several |
| (i) | Tourists | Tour group | FCFS | $(\approx \infty)$ | 1 or more | Multiple |
| (j) | Components | Operations <br> and <br> inspection <br> batch service | FCFS | Finite | 1 or more | 4 |
| (k) | Programs | Processing <br> Programs | FCFS (or <br> PRI | same as (b) | 1 | 1 |

1.2 One could give a variety of illustrations, e.g., people calling into a bank to find their account status. The customers are the calls, it is generally a multi-stage process, where first an automated message of which button to press depending on what's desired is received, and then, after pressing the appropriate button, getting the desired information automatically or asking for a customer representative. We would have finite capacity - if all lines are tied up, a busy signal results and the call must be replaced. It is multi-stage and would usually be a multi-server queue, with a FCFS discipline. Another example might be a bakery, where upon entering, the customer takes a number, so that we have a true, FCFS, multi-server queue with a single waiting line (the queue being the numbers). It would be a single-stage process, since a given server serves only one customer at a time. The capacity would be finite, although there is usually enough space so that it is essentially infinite. As a final example, consider a blood donor center. We have a multistage process (check-in, filling out information, blood pressure and clotting-time checks, and finally giving the blood). Some stages have a single server and others have multiple servers. It is generally an appointment system, but if it is a dropin center, customers can arrive completely randomly and we would have a FCFS discipline. There is a finite capacity in that if the waiting room is completely filled, donors might be asked to come back at another time.
1.3 The parameters are $\lambda=40 / \mathrm{h}$ and $1 / \mu=5.5 \mathrm{~min}$. Using units of hours, $\mu=$ $60 / 5.5 \doteq 10.91 / \mathrm{h}$. The utilization should be less than 1 , so $\lambda / c \mu \doteq 40 /(10.91 c)$, which implies that $c>40 / 10.91 \doteq 3.67$. At least 4 are required to achieve steady state.
1.4 $\mathrm{Lq}=\lambda \mathrm{Wq}=(3 / \min )([75 / 60] \min )=3.75$ or, say, 4. The 3.75 number is, of course, the average number in the queue. We may wish to provide 5 or 6 slots to guarantee that most callers get into the queue.
1.5 (a): From Table 1.2, probability of any server busy, $p_{b}=1-.01=.99$. Now $p_{b}=$ $\lambda / c \mu=r / c$, so that $r=c \times p_{b}=2 \times .99=1.98$. With 3 servers, $p_{b}=1.98 / 3=.66$, so that now, each server is idle $34 \%$ of the time, more than enough time for breaks. (b): If now, $\mu$ becomes $0.8 \times \mu, p_{b}=\lambda /(3 \times 0.8 \times \mu)=(1 / 0.8)(r / 3)=1.98 /(2.4)=0.825$. This still gives an idle percentage for each server of $17.5 \%$, again more than enough time for breaks. (c): Let $\mu^{\prime}=$ the new service rate, so that $1 / \mu^{\prime}=.8(1 / \mu)$, hence $\mu^{\prime}=1.25 \mu$. Thus $p_{b}=\lambda /\left(2 \mu^{\prime}\right)=\lambda /(2 \times 1.25 \mu)=(1 / 1.25)(.99)=0.792$, or an idle percent per server of $20.8 \%$, a cheaper solution giving each server enough time for breaks.
1.6 Let $T$ be the total waiting time. If, when you arrive, the person in service is just about finished, then you wait on average eight service times (yours and the seven ahead of you) or $\mathrm{E}[T]=8(2.5 \mathrm{~min})=20 \mathrm{~min}$. If, when you arrive, the person in service is just beginning, then you wait on average nine service times or $\mathrm{E}[T]=9(2.5 \mathrm{~min})=22.5 \mathrm{~min}$. The average wait is somewhere in between.

Assuming the latter case, $T$ is the sum of 9 IID normal random variables each with mean 2.5 and standard deviation 0.5 . So $T$ is a normal random variable with mean 22.5 and standard deviation $\sqrt{\left(9 \cdot 0.5^{2}\right)}=1.5$. Then $\operatorname{Pr}\{T>30 \mathrm{~min}\}=$ $\operatorname{Pr}\{Z>(30-22.5) / 1.5\}=\operatorname{Pr}\{Z>5\}$, where $Z$ is a standard normal random variable. From standard normal tables, $\operatorname{Pr}\{\mathrm{Z}>5\} \doteq 0$.
1.7 (a) Apply Little's law to the system of active players in the league. The average number of active players in the league is represented by $L$, where $L=32 \cdot 67=$ 2,144 . The average rate that players enter the league is represented by $\lambda$, where $\lambda=32 \cdot 7=224$ per year. The average time spent in the league is represented by $W$. By Little's law, $W=L / \lambda=2144 / 224=9.57$ years.
(b) Here, it is given that $W=3.5$ years. As before $L=2,144$ (the number of active players in the league). The average rate that players enter the league is $\lambda=L / W=2,144 / 3.5 \approx 613$ per year. Since 224 players are drafted each year, an average of $613-224=389$ players enter the league without being drafted. (This analysis assumes that a player who leaves the league never returns.)
1.8 Consider the university as a system where students enter by enrolling at the university. The average undergraduate enrollment is an estimate for $L$ (so $L=16,800$ ). The average number of new students per year (the sum of the middle two columns) is an estimate for $\lambda$ (so $\lambda=4,052$ per year). $W$ is an estimate for the average time an undergraduate spends at the university. By Little's formula, $W=L / \lambda \approx 4.1$ years. (The main assumption here is that the system is operating in steady-state. This may not be a valid assumption, for example, if enrollment were growing. However, this particular example does not indicate a noticeable growth trend.)
1.9 Apply Little's law to the set of homes on the market. The average number of homes on the market is estimated as $L=50$. The rate that homes enter the market is estimated as $\lambda=5$ per week. By Little's law, a home is on the market for an average of $W=L / \lambda=10$ weeks before it is sold. This assumes that the observed
numbers are representative of long-term averages. Furthermore, it is assumed that you have no additional information that might change your estimate. For example, if you price your home at a very low price, you will probably sell it more quickly than the average.
1.10 We use the Delay Analysis for Sample Single-Server Queue model in the Basic Model category in QtsPlus:

1.11 Using QtsPlus Delay Analysis for Sample Single-Server Queue model in the Basic Model category:

| DELAY ANALYSIS FOR SAMPLE SINGLE-SERVER QUEUE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | This is a basic line waiting-time analysis for a sample G/G/1 queue constructed from an input sequence of interarrival and service times. |  |
| Output: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of Observations 10 Clear Old Data | 10 Clear Old Data |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total time horizon 60 |  |  |  |  |
| Mean interarrival time | 6 |  |  |  |
| Arrival rate ( $\lambda$ ) | 0.166666667 |  |  |  |
| Mean service time | 4.6 |  | Put data below into two columns of equal length. Enter data and then press "Solve" button. |  |
| Service rate ( $\mu$ ) | 0.217391304 |  |  |  |
| Empirical traffic intensity ( $\rho$ ) | 76.67\% |  |  |  |
| Average line delay ( Wq ) | 1.7 |  | Sol |  |
| Average system wait (W) | 6.3 |  |  |  |
| Customer | Line Delays | System Waits | Service Time | Inter-arrival Time |
| n | Wq(n) | W(n) | $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{n})$ | $\mathrm{T}(\mathrm{n})$ |
| 0 | *N/A* | *N/A* | *N/A* | 5. |
| 1 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 2. | 5. |
| 2 | 0.0 | 7.0 | 7. | 5. |
| 3 | 2.0 | 8.0 | 6. | 5. |
| 4 | 3.0 | 9.0 | 6. | 5. |
| 5 | 4.0 | 10.0 | 6. | 5. |
| 6 | 5.0 | 8.0 | 3. | 5. |
| 7 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 1. | 5. |
| 8 | 0.0 | 4.0 | 4. | 5. |
| 9 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1. | 5. |
| 10 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 10. |  |

1.12 The following table lists various statistics associated with each customer. "\# in System" and "\# in Queue" refer to the number of customers in the system and queue as seen by the arriving customer.

| Customer \# / <br> Arrival Time | Service Start <br> Time | Exit <br> Time | Time in <br> Queue | $\#$ in <br> System | \# in <br> Queue |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1.00 | 3.22 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | 3.22 | 4.98 | 1.22 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | 4.98 | 7.11 | 1.98 | 2 | 1 |
| 4 | 7.11 | 7.25 | 3.11 | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | 7.25 | 8.01 | 2.25 | 2 | 1 |
| 6 | 8.01 | 8.71 | 2.01 | 3 | 2 |
| 7 | 8.71 | 9.18 | 1.71 | 4 | 3 |
| 8 | 9.18 | 9.40 | 1.18 | 3 | 2 |
| 9 | 9.40 | 9.58 | 0.40 | 2 | 1 |
| 10 | 10.00 | 12.41 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |
| 11 | 12.41 | 12.82 | 1.41 | 1 | 0 |
| 12 | 12.82 | 13.28 | 0.82 | 2 | 1 |
| 13 | 13.28 | 14.65 | 0.28 | 1 | 0 |
| 14 | 14.65 | 14.92 | 0.65 | 1 | 0 |
| 15 | 15.00 | 15.27 | 0.00 | 0 | 0 |

The values in the table are computed as follows:

- The exit time is the service-start time plus the service duration.
- The service-start time is the maximum of the exit time of the previous customer and the arrival time of the customer in question. (The first customer starts service immediately upon arrival.)
- The time in queue is the service-start time minus the arrival time.
- The number in system is the number of previously arriving customers whose exit time is after the arrival time of the customer in question.
- The number in queue is the number in system minus one, with a minimum value of zero.
$L_{q}^{(A)}$ is the average of the last column. $L_{q}^{(A)}=12 / 15=0.8 . L_{q}$ is the total person minutes spent in the queue (the sum of the "Time in Queue" column) divided by the total time interval. $L_{q}=17.02 / 15.27=1.1146$. Note that $L_{q} \neq L_{q}^{(A)}$.
1.13 From differential equation theory, the solution to $\frac{d y(t)}{d t}+\phi(t) y(t)=\psi(t)$ is $y(t)=$ $c e^{-\int \phi(t) d t}+e^{-\int \phi(t) d t} \int e^{-\int \phi(t) d t \psi(t) d t}$. So $\frac{d p_{0}(t)}{d t}+\lambda p_{0}(t)=0 ; p_{0}(0)=1$.

Set $\phi(t)=\lambda$ and $\psi(t)=0 \Rightarrow p_{0}(t)=c e^{-\lambda t}$.
From the boundary condition: $1=c e^{0} \Rightarrow c=1$. Therefore, $p_{0}(t)=e^{-\lambda t}$.
$\frac{d p_{1}(t)}{d t}+\lambda p_{1}(t)=\lambda p_{0}(t)=\lambda e^{-\lambda t} ; p_{1}(0)=0$.
Set $\phi(t)=\lambda$ and $\psi(t)=\lambda e^{-\lambda t} \Rightarrow p_{1}(t)=c e^{-\lambda t}+\lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$.
From the boundary condition: $0=c e^{0}+0 \Rightarrow c=0 \Rightarrow p_{1}(t)=\lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$.
$\frac{d p_{2}(t)}{d t}+\lambda p_{2}(t)=\lambda p_{1}(t)=\lambda^{2} t e^{-\lambda t} ; p_{2}(0)=0$.
Set $\phi(t)=\lambda$ and $\psi(t)=\lambda^{2} t e^{-\lambda t} \Rightarrow p_{2}(t)=c e^{-\lambda t}+\frac{(\lambda t)^{2}}{2} e^{-\lambda t}$.
From the boundary condition: $c=0 \Rightarrow p_{2}(t)=\frac{(\lambda t)^{2}}{2} e^{-\lambda t}$.
$\frac{d p_{3}(t)}{d t}+\lambda p_{3}(t)=\lambda p_{2}(t)=\frac{\lambda^{3} t^{2}}{2} e^{-\lambda t} ; p_{3}(0)=0$.
Set $\phi(t)=\lambda$ and $\psi(t)=\frac{\lambda^{3} t^{2}}{2} e^{-\lambda t} \Rightarrow p_{3}(t)=c e^{-\lambda t}+\frac{(\lambda t)^{3}}{3 \cdot 2} e^{-\lambda t}$.
The boundary condition gives $c=0 \Rightarrow p_{3}(t)=\frac{(\lambda t)^{3}}{3!} e^{-\lambda t}$.
Now assume $p_{n-1}(t)=\frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-\lambda t}$. Set $\phi(t)=\lambda$ and $\psi(t)=\frac{\lambda^{n} t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-\lambda t} \Rightarrow p_{n}(t)=$ $c e^{-\lambda t}+\frac{(\lambda t)^{n}}{n!} e^{-\lambda t}$ and boundary condition gives $c=0 \Rightarrow p_{n}(t)=\frac{(\lambda t)^{n}}{n!} e^{-\lambda t}$.
1.14

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n}(t) & =\frac{\tau^{n} e^{-\tau}}{n!}, \tau=\lambda t, n=0,1,2 \ldots \\
M_{N(t)}(\theta) & =E\left[e^{\theta N(t)}\right]=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^{n} e^{-\tau} e^{\theta n}}{n!}=e^{-\tau} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\tau e^{\theta}\right)^{n}}{n!}=e^{-\tau} e^{\tau e^{\theta}}=e^{\tau\left(e^{\theta-1}\right)} \\
E[N(t)] & =\left.\frac{d M_{N(t)}(\theta)}{d \theta}\right|_{\theta=0}=\left.\tau e^{\theta} e^{\tau\left(e^{\theta}-1\right)}\right|_{\theta=0}=\tau \\
E\left[(N(t)-E[N(t)])^{2}\right] & =E\left[(N(t))^{2}\right]-\{E[N(t)]\}^{2}=\left.\frac{d^{2} M_{N(t)}(\theta)}{d \theta^{2}}\right|_{\theta=0}-\tau^{2} \\
& =\left[\tau e^{\theta} e^{\tau\left(e^{\theta}-1\right)}+\tau^{2} e^{2 \theta} e^{\tau\left(e^{\theta}-1\right)}\right]_{\theta=0}-\tau^{2}=\tau+\tau^{2}-\tau^{2}=\tau
\end{aligned}
$$

### 1.15



Divide the interval $[0, t]$ into $n$ subintervals of length $\Delta t$, so that $t=n \Delta t$. The probability of one arrival in a subinterval is

$$
p \equiv \operatorname{Pr}\{\text { one arrival in } \Delta t\}=\lambda \Delta t+o(\Delta t) \approx \frac{\lambda t}{n}
$$

The probability of more than one arrival in a subinterval is $o(\Delta t)$, which can be made arbitrarily small. Assuming that there can be at most one arrival in a subinterval and using the assumption of independence of non-overlapping intervals, the total number of arrivals in $[0, t]$ is the sum of $n$ Bernoulli trials. This follows a binomial distribution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
b(x ; n, p) & =\binom{n}{x} p^{x}(1-p)^{n-x}, \quad x=0,1, \ldots, n \\
& =\frac{n(n-1) \cdots(n-x+1)}{x!} p^{x}(1-p)^{n}(1-p)^{-x} \\
& =\frac{1 \cdot(1-1 / n) \cdots\left(1-\frac{x-1}{n}\right)}{x!}(n p)^{x}\left(1-\frac{\lambda t}{n}\right)^{n}\left(1-\frac{\lambda t}{n}\right)^{-x}
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b(x ; n, p)=\frac{1}{x!}(\lambda t)^{x} e^{-\lambda t}, \quad x=0,1, \ldots
$$

which is the Poisson distribution.
1.16 Consider


Let $P_{r}\{N(t)-N(s)=n\}=q_{n}(t, s)$.
So $q_{n}(t+\Delta t, s)=q_{n}(t, s)[1-\lambda \Delta t]+q_{n-1}(t, s) \lambda \Delta t+o(\Delta t) \quad n>0$.
$q_{0}(t+\Delta t, s)=q_{0}(t, s)[1-\lambda \Delta t]+o(\Delta t)$.
Rearranging \& dividing by $\Delta t$, then taking $\lim \Delta t \rightarrow 0$ gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial q_{n}(t, s)}{\partial t}=-\lambda q_{n}(t, s)+\lambda q_{n-1}(t, s) \\
& \frac{\partial q_{0}(t, s)}{\partial t}=-\lambda q_{0}(t, s)
\end{aligned}
$$

Solve in a similar manner to (1.11) \& (1.12) by the general solution to a first order linear differential equation was in Problem 1.13 solution. Here, however, the boundary conditions are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q_{0}(s, s)=1, q_{n}(s, s)=0, n \neq 0 \\
& q_{0}(t, s)=c e^{-\lambda t}+e^{-\lambda t}(0)=c e^{-\lambda t} \\
& q_{0}(s, s)=1=c e^{-\lambda s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore $c=\frac{1}{e^{-\lambda s}}=e^{\lambda s}$ and $q_{0}(t, s)=e^{\lambda s} e^{-\lambda t}=e^{-\lambda(t-s)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
q_{1}(t, s) & =c e^{-\lambda t}+e^{-\lambda t} \int e^{\lambda t} \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-s)} d t=c e^{-\lambda t}+e^{-\lambda t} e^{\lambda s} \lambda \int d t \\
& =c e^{-\lambda t}+\lambda e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \cdot t=c e^{-\lambda t}+\lambda t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \\
q_{1}(s, s) & =0=c e^{-\lambda s}+\lambda s \Rightarrow c e^{-\lambda s}=-\lambda s \Rightarrow c=-\lambda s e^{\lambda s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore
$q_{1}(t, s)=-\lambda s e^{\lambda s} e^{-\lambda t}+\lambda t e^{-\lambda(t-s)}=-\lambda s e^{-\lambda(t-s)}+\lambda t e^{-\lambda(t-s)}=\lambda(t-s) e^{-\lambda(t-s)}$
etc. . .
Therefore, $q_{n}(t, s)=p_{n}(t-s)$. Similarly for $q_{n}(t+h, s+h)$.
1.17 Let $P_{n}(t) \equiv C D F$ of the arrival counting process.

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{n}(t) & =\operatorname{Pr}\{(\text { sum of } n+1 \text { Erlang interarrival times }) \geq t\} \\
& =\int_{t}^{\infty} \frac{k \lambda(k \lambda x)^{(n+1) k-1}}{[(n+1) k-1]!} e^{-k \lambda x} d x
\end{aligned}
$$

since the sum of IID Erlang random variables is also an Erlang.
Let $u=x-t$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{n}(t) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(k \lambda)^{(n+1) k}(u+t)^{(n+1) k-1}}{[(n+1) k-1]!} e^{-k \lambda u} e^{-k \lambda t} d u \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{(k \lambda)^{(n+1) k} e^{-k \lambda u} e^{-k \lambda t}}{[(n+1) k-1]!} \sum_{i=0}^{(n+1) k-1} \frac{u^{(n+1) k-1-i} t^{i}}{[(n+1) k-1-i]!} \cdot \frac{[(n+1) k-1]!}{i!} d u \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{(n+1) k-1} \frac{(k \lambda)^{(n+1) k} t^{i} e^{-k \lambda t}}{[(n+1) k-1-i]!i!} \cdot \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-k \lambda u} u^{(n+1) k-1-i} d u \\
& =\sum_{i=0}^{(n+1) k-1} \frac{(k \lambda)^{(n+1) k} t^{i} e^{-k \lambda t}}{[(n+1) k-1-i]!i!} \cdot \frac{[(n+1) k-1-i]!}{(k \lambda)^{(n+1) k-1}}=\sum_{i=0}^{(n+1) k-1} \frac{(k \lambda t)^{i}}{i!} e^{-k \lambda t}
\end{aligned}
$$

The probability function of the counting process is thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n}(t) & =P_{n}(t)-P_{n-1}(t)=\sum_{i=0}^{(n+1) k-1} \frac{(k \lambda t)^{i}}{i!} e^{-k \lambda t}-\sum_{i=0}^{n k-1} \frac{(k \lambda t)^{i}}{i!} e^{-k \lambda t} \\
& =\sum_{i=n k}^{(n+1) k-1} \frac{(k \lambda t)^{i}}{i!} e^{-k \lambda t}
\end{aligned}
$$

1.18 First, assume that $n$ is even. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n}(t)= & \operatorname{Pr}\{N(t)=n\} \\
= & \operatorname{Pr}\{n \text { singles }\}+\operatorname{Pr}\{(n-2) \text { singles and } 1 \text { double }\} \\
& +\operatorname{Pr}\{(n-4) \text { singles and } 2 \text { doubles }\}+\cdots+\operatorname{Pr}\{n / 2 \text { doubles }\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n}(t)= & \frac{e^{-\lambda t}(\lambda t)^{n}}{n!} p^{n}+\binom{n-1}{1} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p^{n-2}(1-p) \\
& +\binom{n-2}{2} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} p^{n-4}(1-p)^{2}+\cdots \\
& +\binom{n-n / 2}{n / 2} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-n / 2}}{(n-n / 2)!} p^{n-2(n / 2)}(1-p)^{n / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n}(t)= & e^{-\lambda t}\left\{\frac{(\lambda t)^{n}}{n!} p^{n}+\frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{1!(n-2)!} p^{n-2}(1-p)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{(\lambda t)^{n-2}}{2!(n-4)!} p^{n-4}(1-p)^{2}+\cdots+\frac{(\lambda t)^{n / 2}}{(n / 2)!}(1-p)^{n / 2}\right\} \\
= & e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{k=0}^{n / 2} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-k}}{k!(n-2 k)!} p^{n-2 k}(1-p)^{k} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, if $n$ is odd,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{n}(t)= & \operatorname{Pr}\{N(t)=n\} \\
= & \operatorname{Pr}\{n \text { singles }\}+\operatorname{Pr}\{(n-2) \text { singles and } 1 \text { double }\} \\
& +\operatorname{Pr}\{(n-4) \text { singles and } 2 \text { doubles }\} \\
& +\cdots+\operatorname{Pr}\{1 \text { single and }(n-1) / 2 \text { doubles }\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proceeding in the same manner gives

$$
p_{n}(t)=e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-k}}{k!(n-2 k)!} p^{n-2 k}(1-p)^{k} .
$$

1.19 (a) Denote respective first recurrence times as $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$. The joint PDF is $f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\lambda_{1} e^{-\lambda_{1} t_{1}} \cdot \lambda_{2} e^{-\lambda_{2} t_{2}}$, since the processes are independent.


$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(T_{1}<T_{2}\right) & =\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t_{2}} f\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) d t_{1}, d t_{2}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{t_{2}} \lambda_{1} e^{-\lambda_{1} t_{1}} \lambda_{2} e^{-\lambda_{2} t_{2}} d t_{1} d t_{2} \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(1-e^{-\lambda_{1} t_{2}}\right) \lambda_{2} e^{-\lambda_{2} t_{2}} d t_{2}=1-\frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}=\frac{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) First $n$ services will take on the average $n / m \mu$ while the remaining $m$ will require $\sum_{i=1}^{m}(1 / i \mu)$.
1.20 Equation (1.25): $p_{j k}(u, s)=\sum_{i} p_{j i}(u, t) p_{i k}(t, s)$

Equation (1.27b): $p_{j k}(t, t+\Delta t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}q_{j k}(t) \Delta t+o(\Delta t) & j \neq k \\ 1-q_{j}(t) \Delta t+o(\Delta t) & j=k\end{array}\right.$
Let $s=t+\Delta t$ in (1.26)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j k}(u, t+\Delta t) & =\sum_{i} p_{j i}(u, t) p_{i k}(t, t+\Delta t) \\
& =p_{j k}(u, t) p_{k k}(t, t+\Delta t)+\sum_{i \neq k} p_{j i}(u, t) p_{i k}(t, t+\Delta t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Introducing (1.27b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j k}(u, t+\Delta t)= & p_{j k}(u, t)\left[1-q_{k}(t) \Delta t+o(\Delta t)\right] \\
& +\sum_{i \neq k} p_{j i}(u, t)\left[q_{i k}(t) \Delta t+o(\Delta t)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Rewriting this,

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j k}(u, t+\Delta t)-p_{j k}(u, t)= & {\left[-q_{k}(t) \Delta t+o(\Delta t)\right] p_{j k}(u, t) } \\
& +\sum_{i \neq k} p_{j i}(u, t)\left[q_{i k}(t) \Delta t+o(\Delta t)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by $\Delta t$ and taking the limit as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\frac{\partial p_{j k}(u, t)}{\partial t}=-q_{k}(t) p_{j k}(u, t)+\sum_{i \neq k} p_{j i}(u, t) q_{i k}(t)
$$

which is the Kolmogorov forward equation (1.28a) Next, let $u=t-\Delta t$ in (1.26)
and then introduce (1.27b), [or let $s=t ; t=u+\Delta u$ ]

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j k}(t-\Delta t, s) & =\sum_{i} p_{j i}(t-\Delta t, t) p_{i k}(t, s) \\
& =p_{j j}(t-\Delta t, t) p_{j k}(t, s)+\sum_{i \neq j} p_{j i}(t-\Delta t, t) p_{i k}(t, s) \\
& =\left[1-q_{j}(t) \Delta t+o(\Delta t)\right] p_{j k}(t, s)+\sum_{i \neq j}\left[q_{j i}(t) \Delta t+o(\Delta t)\right] p_{i k}(t, s)
\end{aligned}
$$

Rewriting and dividing by $\Delta t$,

$$
\frac{p_{j k}(t-\Delta t, s) p_{j k}(t, s)}{\Delta t}=-q_{j}(t) p_{j k}(t, s)+\frac{o(\Delta t)}{\Delta t}+\sum_{i \neq j}\left[q_{j i}(t)+\frac{o(\Delta t)}{\Delta t}\right] p_{i k}(t, s)
$$

Taking the limit as $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$,

$$
-\frac{\partial p_{j k}(t, s)}{\partial t}=-q_{j}(t) p_{j k}(t, s)+\sum_{i \neq j} q_{j i}(t) p_{i k}(t, s)
$$

Let $t=u$ and $s=t$ to get the Kolmogorov backward equation (1.28b),

$$
\frac{\partial p_{j k}(u, t)}{\partial u}=q_{i}(u) p_{j k}(u, t)-\sum_{i \neq j} q_{j i}(u) p_{i k}(u, t)
$$

1.21 Let

$$
\boldsymbol{Q}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
-\lambda & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & -\lambda & \lambda & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & -\lambda & \lambda & 0 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots &
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then $\boldsymbol{p}^{\prime}(t)=\boldsymbol{p}(t) \boldsymbol{Q}$ gives

$$
\left(p_{0}^{\prime}(t), p_{1}^{\prime}(t), \ldots\right)=\left(p_{0}(t), p_{1}(t), \ldots\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
-\lambda & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & -\lambda & \lambda & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\
0 & 0 & -\lambda & \lambda & 0 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots &
\end{array}\right)
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{gathered}
p_{0}^{\prime}(t)=-\lambda p_{0}(t) \\
p_{1}^{\prime}(t)=\lambda p_{0}(t)-\lambda p_{1}(t) \\
p_{2}^{\prime}(t)=\lambda p_{1}(t)-\lambda p_{2}(t) \\
\\
\vdots \\
p_{n}^{\prime}(t)=\lambda p_{n-1}(t)-\lambda p_{n}(t)
\end{gathered}
$$


(b)
MARKOV CHAIN

Solution
p0
p1
p2
p3
p
To start a new problem, enter number of states
and press button.
Number of States: $\quad 4$

Enter transition probabilities, then press "Solve" button.

Enter P Matrix below

| 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.12 | 0.43 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.12 | 0.43 |
| 0. | 0.25 | 0.2 | 0.55 |
| 0. | 0. | 0.25 | 0.75 |

$i \geq 1$ :
$\operatorname{Pr}\{$ goes to state $i+1 \mid$ in state $i$ and a transition occurs \}

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\frac{\operatorname{Pr}\{\text { goes to state } i+1 \text { and a trans. occurs } \mid \text { in state } i\}}{\operatorname{Pr}\{\text { trans. occurs } \mid \text { in state } i\}} \\
& =\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0}\left\{\frac{\lambda_{i} \Delta t+o(\Delta t)}{1-\left[1-\lambda_{i} \Delta t-\mu_{i} \Delta t+o(\Delta t)\right]}\right\}=\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0}\left\{\frac{\lambda_{i}+o(\Delta t) / \Delta t}{\lambda_{i}+\mu_{i}+o(\Delta t) / \Delta t}\right\}=\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}+\mu_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly, $\operatorname{Pr}\{$ goes to state $i-1 \mid$ in state $i$ and a transition occurs $\}=\cdots$

$$
=\frac{\mu_{i}}{\lambda_{i}+\mu_{i}} .
$$

Or, using the results of Problem 1.19a, two independent Poisson processes with parameters $\lambda_{i}$ and $\mu_{i}$, respectively, yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\{\text { arrival before a departure }\} & =\frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}+\mu_{i}}, \\
P\{\text { departure before an arrival }\} & =\frac{\mu_{i}}{\lambda+\mu_{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

### 1.24

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(T_{(1)} \leq t\right) & =1-(1-t)^{n} \\
P\left(n T_{(1)} \leq t\right) & =P\left(T_{(1)} \leq \frac{t}{n}\right)=1-\left(1-\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(1-\frac{t}{n}\right)^{n}=e^{-t}
$$

then $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(n T_{(1)} \leq t\right)=1-e^{-t}$, the exponential CDF.
1.25

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}=\lambda\left(1-p_{K}\right)=.9 ; W=L / \lambda_{\mathrm{eff}}=5 / .9=50 / 9 \\
& W_{q}=W-1 / \mu=50 / 9-1=41 / 9 \\
& \rho_{\mathrm{eff}}=\lambda_{\mathrm{eff}} / \mu=.9 \text { and } p_{0}=1-\rho_{\mathrm{eff}}=.1
\end{aligned}
$$

1.26 (a) $C V=1.6 / 2.25=.71111=1 / \sqrt{k}$. Therefore, $k=(1 / .7111)^{2}=1.971$ (approximately 2). Now $\beta=1.6 / \sqrt{2}=1.13$. Using the QtsPlus Basic Erlang Probability Calculator we get:

## ERLANG(k) PROBABILITY CALCULATIONS

## Input Parameters:

Erlang scale parameter ( $\beta$ ) 1.13
Erlang shape parameter (k) 2

## Plot Parameters:

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Maximum print/plot value } & 6\end{array}$
Results:

| Distribution mean | 2.26 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Distribution variance | 2.5538 |
| Coefficient of Variation | 0.707107 |

Erlang(2) Distribution Function


So $\operatorname{Pr}($ Service $\geq 5 \mathrm{~min}) \approx 1-0.93=.07$.
(b) Using the QtsPlus Mixed Exponential Probability Computation Module (here we have a pure exponential or a mixture of 1 ):

```
MIXED EXPONENTIAL PROBABILITY COMPUTATIONS
    Note that we allow both regular exponential mixtures, which are convex combinations
    of negative exponential functions, as well as generalized mixtures where the
    proportionality constants may be negative although still summing to 1.
```

        Clear Old Data
    Input Parameters:
$\begin{array}{lc}\mathbf{p}_{\mathbf{i}} & \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\mathbf{i}} \\ 1 . & 1.06\end{array}$

Plot Parameters: Maximum print/plot value

Results:

| Mean value | 1.06 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Second moment about zero | 2.2472 |
| Variance | 1.1236 |
| Coefficient of variation | 1 |

