INSTRUCTOR'S MANUAL FOR

## FUNDAMENTALS OF QUEUEING THEORY

### INSTRUCTOR'S MANUAL FOR

## FUNDAMENTALS OF QUEUEING THEORY

#### FOURTH EDITION

#### DONALD GROSS

Research Professor of Operations Research George Mason University

> Professor Emeritus The George Washington University

JOHN F. SHORTLE Associate Professor of Systems Engineering George Mason University

> JAMES M. THOMPSON Enterprise Architect Freddie Mac

CARL M. HARRIS Late of George Mason University



A Wiley Interscience Publication JOHN WILEY & SONS, INC. New York • Chichester • Weinheim • Brisbane • Singapore • Toronto

Copyright © 1998, 2006 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc. All rights reserved.

Published simultaneously in Canada.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning or otherwise, except as permitted under Sections 107 or 108 of the 1976 United States Copyright Act, without either the prior written permission of the Publisher, or authorization through payment of the appropriate per-copy fee to the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, (508) 750-8400, fax (508) 750-4744. Requests to the Publisher for permission should be addressed to the permissions Department, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 605 Third Avenue, New York, NY 10158-0012, (212) 850-6011, fax (212) 850-6008, E-Mail: PERMREQ @ WILEY.COM.

Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data:

ISBN 0-471-29009-2 (paper)

Printed in the United States of America

 $10\ 9\ 8\ 7\ 6\ 5\ 4\ 3\ 2\ 1$ 

# Contents

Chapter 1	Introduction	1
Chapter 2	Simple Markovian Queueing Models	18
Chapter 3	Advanced Markovian Queueing Models	68
Chapter 4	Networks, Series, and Cyclic Queues	106
Chapter 5	General Arrival or Service Patterns	127
Chapter 6	General Models and Theoretical Topics	155
Chapter 7	Bounds and Approximations	176
Chapter 8	Numerical Techniques and Simulation	182

## CHAPTER 1

# Introduction

#### 1.1

Prob.	Calling	Service	Discipline	Capacity	No. Servers	No. Stages
	Units	Function				
(a)	Airplanes	Landing run- ways	FCFS (PRI in emergency)	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Stack} \\ (\approx \infty) \end{array}$	No. runways	1-landing only; 2-landing and taxiing
(b)	Filled Gro- cery carts	Checker(and bagger)	FCFS (with jockeying)	$(\approx \infty)$	With jockeying and channel choice acts like a <i>c</i> -server model	1
(c)	People	Clerks	same as (b)	same as (b)	same as (b)	1
(d)	Cars	Paying toll (toll booth)	FCFS	$\infty$	1 or more (in fog, acts like indep. single channels no choice or jockeying)	1
(e)	Cars	Gas filling	FCFS	Finite	No. of pump islands (similar to (b) although jockeying difficult)	1

(f)	Cars	Car-wash building	FCFS	Finite	Generally 1	Many, with no storage between stages
(g)	Calls	Lines in switchboard	FCFS	Finite	No. of lines	1
(h)	Patients	Doctor (could be batch service)	Fixed as to appoint- ments	Finite seating capacity and waiting room	1, unless a clinic	Usually 1 but could be several
(i)	Tourists	Tour group	FCFS	$(\approx \infty)$	1 or more	Multiple
(j)	Components	Operations and inspection batch service	FCFS	Finite	1 or more	4
(k)	Programs	Processing Programs	FCFS (or PRI)	same as (b)	1	1

- 1.2One could give a variety of illustrations, e.g., people calling into a bank to find their account status. The customers are the calls, it is generally a multi-stage process, where first an automated message of which button to press depending on what's desired is received, and then, after pressing the appropriate button, getting the desired information automatically or asking for a customer representative. We would have finite capacity - if all lines are tied up, a busy signal results and the call must be replaced. It is multi-stage and would usually be a multi-server queue, with a FCFS discipline. Another example might be a bakery, where upon entering, the customer takes a number, so that we have a true, FCFS, multi-server queue with a single waiting line (the queue being the numbers). It would be a single-stage process, since a given server serves only one customer at a time. The capacity would be finite, although there is usually enough space so that it is essentially infinite. As a final example, consider a blood donor center. We have a multistage process (check-in, filling out information, blood pressure and clotting-time checks, and finally giving the blood). Some stages have a single server and others have multiple servers. It is generally an appointment system, but if it is a dropin center, customers can arrive completely randomly and we would have a FCFS discipline. There is a finite capacity in that if the waiting room is completely filled, donors might be asked to come back at another time.
- **1.3** The parameters are  $\lambda = 40/h$  and  $1/\mu = 5.5$  min. Using units of hours,  $\mu = 60/5.5 \doteq 10.91/h$ . The utilization should be less than 1, so  $\lambda/c\mu \doteq 40/(10.91c)$ , which implies that  $c > 40/10.91 \doteq 3.67$ . At least 4 are required to achieve steady state.
- 1.4  $Lq = \lambda Wq = (3/\min)([75/60]\min) = 3.75 \text{ or, say, 4. The } 3.75 \text{ number is, of course,}$ the average number in the queue. We may wish to provide 5 or 6 slots to guarantee that most callers get into the queue.

- 1.5 (a): From Table 1.2, probability of any server busy,  $p_b = 1 .01 = .99$ . Now  $p_b = \lambda/c\mu = r/c$ , so that  $r = c \times p_b = 2 \times .99 = 1.98$ . With 3 servers,  $p_b = 1.98/3 = .66$ , so that now, each server is idle 34% of the time, more than enough time for breaks. (b): If now,  $\mu$  becomes  $0.8 \times \mu$ ,  $p_b = \lambda/(3 \times 0.8 \times \mu) = (1/0.8)(r/3) = 1.98/(2.4) = 0.825$ . This still gives an idle percentage for each server of 17.5%, again more than enough time for breaks. (c): Let  $\mu'$  =the new service rate, so that  $1/\mu' = .8(1/\mu)$ , hence  $\mu' = 1.25\mu$ . Thus  $p_b = \lambda/(2\mu') = \lambda/(2 \times 1.25\mu) = (1/1.25)(.99) = 0.792$ , or an idle percent per server of 20.8%, a cheaper solution giving each server enough time for breaks.
- **1.6** Let T be the total waiting time. If, when you arrive, the person in service is just about finished, then you wait on average eight service times (yours and the seven ahead of you) or E[T] = 8(2.5 min) = 20 min. If, when you arrive, the person in service is just beginning, then you wait on average nine service times or E[T] = 9(2.5 min) = 22.5 min. The average wait is somewhere in between.

Assuming the latter case, T is the sum of 9 IID normal random variables each with mean 2.5 and standard deviation 0.5. So T is a normal random variable with mean 22.5 and standard deviation  $\sqrt{(9 \cdot 0.5^2)} = 1.5$ . Then  $\Pr\{T > 30 \min\} =$  $\Pr\{Z > (30 - 22.5)/1.5\} = \Pr\{Z > 5\}$ , where Z is a standard normal random variable. From standard normal tables,  $\Pr\{Z > 5\} \doteq 0$ .

- (a) Apply Little's law to the system of active players in the league. The average number of active players in the league is represented by L, where L = 32 · 67 = 2, 144. The average rate that players enter the league is represented by λ, where λ = 32 · 7 = 224 per year. The average time spent in the league is represented by W. By Little's law, W = L/λ = 2144/224 = 9.57 years.
  - (b) Here, it is given that W = 3.5 years. As before L = 2,144 (the number of active players in the league). The average rate that players enter the league is  $\lambda = L/W = 2,144/3.5 \approx 613$  per year. Since 224 players are drafted each year, an average of 613 224 = 389 players enter the league without being drafted. (This analysis assumes that a player who leaves the league never returns.)
- 1.8 Consider the university as a system where students enter by enrolling at the university. The average undergraduate enrollment is an estimate for L (so L = 16,800). The average number of new students per year (the sum of the middle two columns) is an estimate for  $\lambda$  (so  $\lambda = 4,052$  per year). W is an estimate for the average time an undergraduate spends at the university. By Little's formula,  $W = L/\lambda \approx 4.1$  years. (The main assumption here is that the system is operating in steady-state. This may not be a valid assumption, for example, if enrollment were growing. However, this particular example does not indicate a noticeable growth trend.)
- 1.9 Apply Little's law to the set of homes on the market. The average number of homes on the market is estimated as L = 50. The rate that homes enter the market is estimated as  $\lambda = 5$  per week. By Little's law, a home is on the market for an average of  $W = L/\lambda = 10$  weeks before it is sold. This assumes that the observed

numbers are representative of long-term averages. Furthermore, it is assumed that you have no additional information that might change your estimate. For example, if you price your home at a very low price, you will probably sell it more quickly than the average.

**1.10** We use the Delay Analysis for Sample Single-Server Queue model in the Basic Model category in QtsPlus:

DELAY ANALYSIS FOR SAMPLE SINGLE-SERVER QUEUE					
0		т	nis is a basic line wai	ting-time analysis for	a sample G/G/1 qu
Output:		co	onstructed from an in	put sequence of intera	arrival and service
			Clear Old D	ata	
Number of Observations	20				
I otal time horizon	147				
Mean Interarrival time	7.35				
Arrival rate ( $\lambda$ )	0.136054422	P	ut data below into two	columns of equal ler	igtn.
Mean service time	6.2	E	nter data and then pr	ess "Solve" button.	
Service rate (µ)	0.161290323				
Empirical traffic intensity (ρ)	84.35%		Colu		
Average line delay (Wq)	3.95			e	
Average system wait (W)	10.15				
Customer	l ine Delavs	System Waits	Service Time	Inter-arrival Time	
n	Wa(n)	W(n)	S(n)	T(n)	
0	*N/A*	*N/A*	*N/A*	1.	
1	0.0	3.0	3.	9.	
2	0.0	7.0	7.	6.	
3	1.0	10.0	9.	4.	
4	6.0	15.0	9.	7.	
5	8.0	18.0	10.	9.	
6	9.0	13.0	4.	5.	
7	8.0	16.0	8.	8.	
8	8.0	13.0	5.	4.	
9	9.0	14.0	5.	10.	
10	4.0	7.0	3.	6.	
11	1.0	7.0	6.	12.	
12	0.0	3.0	3.	6.	
13	0.0	5.0	5.	8.	
14	0.0	4.0	4.	9.	
15	0.0	9.0	9.	5.	
16	4.0	13.0	9.	7.	
17	6.0	14.0	8.	8.	
18	6.0	12.0	6.	8.	
19	4.0	12.0	8.	7.	
20	5.0	8.0	3.		

**1.11** Using QtsPlus Delay Analysis for Sample Single-Server Queue model in the Basic Model category:

DEL/	AY ANALYSIS FOR SAMPLE GLE-SERVER QUEUE					
			Т	his is a basic line wait	ing-time analysis for a	a sample G/G/1 queue
			C	onstructed from an inp	out sequence of intera	rrival and service times.
	Output:					
				Cloar Old D	ata	
	Number of Observations	10			aia	
	Total time horizon	60				
	Mean interarrival time	6				
	Arrival rate (λ)	0.166666667	P	ut data below into two	columns of equal len	gth.
	Mean service time	4.6	E	nter data and then pre	ess "Solve" button.	
	Service rate (µ)	0.217391304				
	Empirical traffic intensity (ρ)	76.67%				
	Average line delay (Wq)	1.7		( Solv	e j	
	Average system wait (W)	6.3				
	Customer	Line Delays	System Waits	Service Time	Inter-arrival Time	
	n	Wq(n)	W(n)	S(n)	T(n)	
	0	*N/A*	*N/A*	*N/A*	5.	
	1	0.0	2.0	2.	5.	
	2	0.0	7.0	7.	5.	
	3	2.0	8.0	6.	5.	
	4	3.0	9.0	6.	5.	
	5	4.0	10.0	6.	5.	
	6	5.0	8.0	3.	5.	
	6 7	5.0 3.0	8.0 4.0	3. 1.	5. 5.	
	6 7 8	5.0 3.0 0.0	8.0 4.0 4.0	3. 1. 4.	5. 5. 5.	
	6 7 8 9	5.0 3.0 0.0 0.0	8.0 4.0 4.0 1.0	3. 1. 4. 1.	5. 5. 5. 5.	

**1.12** The following table lists various statistics associated with each customer. "# in System" and "# in Queue" refer to the number of customers in the system and queue as seen by the arriving customer.

Customer $\#$ /	Service Start	Exit	Time in	# in	# in
Arrival Time	Time	Time	Queue	System	Queue
1	1.00	3.22	0.00	0	0
2	3.22	4.98	1.22	1	0
3	4.98	7.11	1.98	2	1
4	7.11	7.25	3.11	2	1
5	7.25	8.01	2.25	2	1
6	8.01	8.71	2.01	3	2
7	8.71	9.18	1.71	4	3
8	9.18	9.40	1.18	3	2
9	9.40	9.58	0.40	2	1
10	10.00	12.41	0.00	0	0
11	12.41	12.82	1.41	1	0
12	12.82	13.28	0.82	2	1
13	13.28	14.65	0.28	1	0
14	14.65	14.92	0.65	1	0
15	15.00	15.27	0.00	0	0

The values in the table are computed as follows:

- The exit time is the service-start time plus the service duration.
- The service-start time is the maximum of the exit time of the previous customer and the arrival time of the customer in question. (The first customer starts service immediately upon arrival.)
- The time in queue is the service-start time minus the arrival time.
- The number in system is the number of previously arriving customers whose exit time is after the arrival time of the customer in question.
- $\circ$   $\,$  The number in queue is the number in system minus one, with a minimum value of zero.

 $L_q^{(A)}$  is the average of the last column.  $L_q^{(A)} = 12/15 = 0.8$ .  $L_q$  is the total person minutes spent in the queue (the sum of the "Time in Queue" column) divided by the total time interval.  $L_q = 17.02/15.27 = 1.1146$ . Note that  $L_q \neq L_q^{(A)}$ .

**1.13** From differential equation theory, the solution to  $\frac{dy(t)}{dt} + \phi(t)y(t) = \psi(t)$  is  $y(t) = ce^{-\int \phi(t)dt} + e^{-\int \phi(t)dt} \int e^{-\int \phi(t)dt\psi(t)dt}$ . So  $\frac{dp_0(t)}{dt} + \lambda p_0(t) = 0$ ;  $p_0(0) = 1$ .

Set  $\phi(t) = \lambda$  and  $\psi(t) = 0 \Rightarrow p_0(t) = ce^{-\lambda t}$ .

From the boundary condition:  $1 = ce^0 \Rightarrow c = 1$ . Therefore,  $p_0(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$ .

$$\frac{dp_1(t)}{dt} + \lambda p_1(t) = \lambda p_0(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t}; p_1(0) = 0.$$

Set  $\phi(t) = \lambda$  and  $\psi(t) = \lambda e^{-\lambda t} \Rightarrow p_1(t) = c e^{-\lambda t} + \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$ .

From the boundary condition:  $0 = ce^0 + 0 \Rightarrow c = 0 \Rightarrow p_1(t) = \lambda t e^{-\lambda t}$ .

$$\frac{dp_2(t)}{dt} + \lambda p_2(t) = \lambda p_1(t) = \lambda^2 t e^{-\lambda t}; p_2(0) = 0.$$

Set  $\phi(t) = \lambda$  and  $\psi(t) = \lambda^2 t e^{-\lambda t} \Rightarrow p_2(t) = c e^{-\lambda t} + \frac{(\lambda t)^2}{2} e^{-\lambda t}$ .

From the boundary condition:  $c = 0 \Rightarrow p_2(t) = \frac{(\lambda t)^2}{2}e^{-\lambda t}$ .

$$\frac{dp_3(t)}{dt} + \lambda p_3(t) = \lambda p_2(t) = \frac{\lambda^3 t^2}{2} e^{-\lambda t}; p_3(0) = 0.$$
  
Set  $\phi(t) = \lambda$  and  $\psi(t) = \frac{\lambda^3 t^2}{2} e^{-\lambda t} \Rightarrow p_3(t) = c e^{-\lambda t} + \frac{(\lambda t)^3}{3 \cdot 2} e^{-\lambda t}.$ 

The boundary condition gives  $c = 0 \Rightarrow p_3(t) = \frac{(\lambda t)^3}{3!} e^{-\lambda t}$ .

Now assume  $p_{n-1}(t) = \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-\lambda t}$ . Set  $\phi(t) = \lambda$  and  $\psi(t) = \frac{\lambda^n t^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} e^{-\lambda t} \Rightarrow p_n(t) = ce^{-\lambda t} + \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda t}$  and boundary condition gives  $c = 0 \Rightarrow p_n(t) = \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} e^{-\lambda t}$ .

7

$$p_n(t) = \frac{\tau^n e^{-\tau}}{n!}, \tau = \lambda t, n = 0, 1, 2 \dots$$

$$M_{N(t)}(\theta) = E[e^{\theta N(t)}] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\tau^n e^{-\tau} e^{\theta n}}{n!} = e^{-\tau} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\tau e^{\theta})^n}{n!} = e^{-\tau} e^{\tau e^{\theta}} = e^{\tau(e^{\theta-1})}$$

$$E[N(t)] = \frac{dM_{N(t)}(\theta)}{d\theta} \Big|_{\theta=0} = \tau e^{\theta} e^{\tau(e^{\theta}-1)} \Big|_{\theta=0} = \tau$$

$$E[(N(t) - E[N(t)])^2] = E[(N(t))^2] - \{E[N(t)]\}^2 = \frac{d^2 M_{N(t)}(\theta)}{d\theta^2} \Big|_{\theta=0} - \tau^2$$

$$= [\tau e^{\theta} e^{\tau(e^{\theta}-1)} + \tau^2 e^{2\theta} e^{\tau(e^{\theta}-1)}]_{\theta=0} - \tau^2 = \tau + \tau^2 - \tau^2 = \tau$$

1.15



Divide the interval [0, t] into n subintervals of length  $\Delta t$ , so that  $t = n \Delta t$ . The probability of one arrival in a subinterval is

$$p \equiv \Pr\{\text{one arrival in } \Delta t\} = \lambda \Delta t + o(\Delta t) \approx \frac{\lambda t}{n}.$$

The probability of more than one arrival in a subinterval is  $o(\Delta t)$ , which can be made arbitrarily small. Assuming that there can be at most one arrival in a subinterval and using the assumption of independence of non-overlapping intervals, the total number of arrivals in [0, t] is the sum of *n* Bernoulli trials. This follows a binomial distribution:

$$b(x;n,p) = {n \choose x} p^x (1-p)^{n-x}, \quad x = 0, 1, \dots, n$$
  
=  $\frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-x+1)}{x!} p^x (1-p)^n (1-p)^{-x}$   
=  $\frac{1\cdot(1-1/n)\cdots(1-\frac{x-1}{n})}{x!} (np)^x \left(1-\frac{\lambda t}{n}\right)^n \left(1-\frac{\lambda t}{n}\right)^{-x}$ 

So,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} b(x; n, p) = \frac{1}{x!} (\lambda t)^x e^{-\lambda t}, \quad x = 0, 1, \dots,$$

which is the Poisson distribution.

1.14

1.16 Consider



Let  $P_r\{N(t) - N(s) = n\} = q_n(t, s).$ 

So  $q_n(t + \Delta t, s) = q_n(t, s)[1 - \lambda \Delta t] + q_{n-1}(t, s)\lambda \Delta t + o(\Delta t)$  n > 0.  $q_0(t + \Delta t, s) = q_0(t, s)[1 - \lambda \Delta t] + o(\Delta t).$ 

Rearranging & dividing by  $\Delta t$ , then taking  $\lim \Delta t \to 0$  gives

$$\frac{\partial q_n(t,s)}{\partial t} = -\lambda q_n(t,s) + \lambda q_{n-1}(t,s)$$
$$\frac{\partial q_0(t,s)}{\partial t} = -\lambda q_0(t,s)$$

Solve in a similar manner to (1.11) & (1.12) by the general solution to a first order linear differential equation was in Problem 1.13 solution. Here, however, the boundary conditions are

$$q_0(s,s) = 1, q_n(s,s) = 0, n \neq 0.$$
  

$$q_0(t,s) = ce^{-\lambda t} + e^{-\lambda t}(0) = ce^{-\lambda t}$$
  

$$q_0(s,s) = 1 = ce^{-\lambda s}$$

Therefore  $c = \frac{1}{e^{-\lambda s}} = e^{\lambda s}$  and  $q_0(t,s) = e^{\lambda s} e^{-\lambda t} = e^{-\lambda(t-s)}$ 

$$q_{1}(t,s) = ce^{-\lambda t} + e^{-\lambda t} \int e^{\lambda t} \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-s)} dt = ce^{-\lambda t} + e^{-\lambda t} e^{\lambda s} \lambda \int dt$$
$$= ce^{-\lambda t} + \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-s)} \cdot t = ce^{-\lambda t} + \lambda t e^{-\lambda(t-s)}$$
$$q_{1}(s,s) = 0 = ce^{-\lambda s} + \lambda s \Rightarrow ce^{-\lambda s} = -\lambda s \Rightarrow c = -\lambda s e^{\lambda s}$$

Therefore

$$q_1(t,s) = -\lambda s e^{\lambda s} e^{-\lambda t} + \lambda t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} = -\lambda s e^{-\lambda(t-s)} + \lambda t e^{-\lambda(t-s)} = \lambda(t-s) e^{-\lambda(t-s)}$$

 ${\rm etc.} \ .$ 

Therefore,  $q_n(t,s) = p_n(t-s)$ . Similarly for  $q_n(t+h,s+h)$ .

**1.17** Let  $P_n(t) \equiv CDF$  of the arrival counting process.

Then,

$$\begin{split} P_n(t) &= \Pr\{(\text{sum of } n + 1\text{Erlang interarrival times}) \ge t\} \\ &= \int_t^\infty \frac{k\lambda(k\lambda x)^{(n+1)k-1}}{[(n+1)k-1]!} e^{-k\lambda x} dx \end{split}$$

since the sum of IID Erlang random variables is also an Erlang.

Let u = x - t,

$$\begin{split} P_n(t) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{(k\lambda)^{(n+1)k}(u+t)^{(n+1)k-1}}{[(n+1)k-1]!} e^{-k\lambda u} e^{-k\lambda t} du \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{(k\lambda)^{(n+1)k} e^{-k\lambda u} e^{-k\lambda t}}{[(n+1)k-1]!} \sum_{i=0}^{(n+1)k-1} \frac{u^{(n+1)k-1-i}t^i}{[(n+1)k-1-i]!} \cdot \frac{[(n+1)k-1]!}{i!} du \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{(n+1)k-1} \frac{(k\lambda)^{(n+1)k} t^i e^{-k\lambda t}}{[(n+1)k-1-i]!i!} \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{-k\lambda u} u^{(n+1)k-1-i} du \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{(n+1)k-1} \frac{(k\lambda)^{(n+1)k} t^i e^{-k\lambda t}}{[(n+1)k-1-i]!i!} \cdot \frac{[(n+1)k-1-i]!}{(k\lambda)^{(n+1)k-1}} = \sum_{i=0}^{(n+1)k-1} \frac{(k\lambda t)^i}{i!} e^{-k\lambda t} \end{split}$$

The probability function of the counting process is thus,

$$p_n(t) = P_n(t) - P_{n-1}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{(n+1)k-1} \frac{(k\lambda t)^i}{i!} e^{-k\lambda t} - \sum_{i=0}^{nk-1} \frac{(k\lambda t)^i}{i!} e^{-k\lambda t}$$
$$= \sum_{i=nk}^{(n+1)k-1} \frac{(k\lambda t)^i}{i!} e^{-k\lambda t}$$

**1.18** First, assume that *n* is even. Then,

$$p_n(t) = \Pr\{N(t) = n\}$$
  
=  $\Pr\{n \text{ singles}\} + \Pr\{(n-2) \text{ singles and } 1 \text{ double}\}$   
+  $\Pr\{(n-4) \text{ singles and } 2 \text{ doubles}\} + \dots + \Pr\{n/2 \text{ doubles}\}.$ 

Then,

$$p_n(t) = \frac{e^{-\lambda t} (\lambda t)^n}{n!} p^n + \binom{n-1}{1} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} p^{n-2} (1-p) + \binom{n-2}{2} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} p^{n-4} (1-p)^2 + \cdots + \binom{n-n/2}{n/2} e^{-\lambda t} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-n/2}}{(n-n/2)!} p^{n-2(n/2)} (1-p)^{n/2}.$$

So,

$$p_n(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \left\{ \frac{(\lambda t)^n}{n!} p^n + \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-1}}{1!(n-2)!} p^{n-2} (1-p) + \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-2}}{2!(n-4)!} p^{n-4} (1-p)^2 + \dots + \frac{(\lambda t)^{n/2}}{(n/2)!} (1-p)^{n/2} \right\}$$
$$= e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{k=0}^{n/2} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-k}}{k!(n-2k)!} p^{n-2k} (1-p)^k.$$

Similarly, if n is odd,

$$p_n(t) = \Pr\{N(t) = n\}$$
  
=  $\Pr\{n \text{ singles}\} + \Pr\{(n-2) \text{ singles and } 1 \text{ double}\}$   
+  $\Pr\{(n-4) \text{ singles and } 2 \text{ doubles}\}$   
+  $\dots + \Pr\{1 \text{ single and } (n-1)/2 \text{ doubles}\}.$ 

Proceeding in the same manner gives

$$p_n(t) = e^{-\lambda t} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{(\lambda t)^{n-k}}{k!(n-2k)!} p^{n-2k} (1-p)^k.$$

1.19 (a) Denote respective first recurrence times as  $T_1$  and  $T_2$ . The joint PDF is  $f(t_1, t_2) = \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t_1} \cdot \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t_2}$ , since the processes are independent.



$$P(T_1 < T_2) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{t_2} f(t_1, t_2) dt_1, dt_2 = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{t_2} \lambda_1 e^{-\lambda_1 t_1} \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t_2} dt_1 dt_2$$
$$= \int_0^\infty (1 - e^{-\lambda_1 t_2}) \lambda_2 e^{-\lambda_2 t_2} dt_2 = 1 - \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}$$

(b) First *n* services will take on the average  $n/m\mu$  while the remaining *m* will require  $\sum_{i=1}^{m} (1/i\mu)$ .

1.20 Equation (1.25): 
$$p_{jk}(u,s) = \sum_{i} p_{ji}(u,t)p_{ik}(t,s)$$
  
Equation (1.27b):  $p_{jk}(t,t+\Delta t) = \begin{cases} q_{jk}(t)\Delta t + o(\Delta t) & j \neq k\\ 1 - q_j(t)\Delta t + o(\Delta t) & j = k \end{cases}$ 

Let  $s = t + \Delta t$  in (1.26)

$$p_{jk}(u, t + \Delta t) = \sum_{i} p_{ji}(u, t) p_{ik}(t, t + \Delta t)$$
$$= p_{jk}(u, t) p_{kk}(t, t + \Delta t) + \sum_{i \neq k} p_{ji}(u, t) p_{ik}(t, t + \Delta t)$$

Introducing (1.27b)

$$p_{jk}(u, t + \Delta t) = p_{jk}(u, t)[1 - q_k(t)\Delta t + o(\Delta t)]$$
$$+ \sum_{i \neq k} p_{ji}(u, t)[q_{ik}(t)\Delta t + o(\Delta t)]$$

Rewriting this,

$$p_{jk}(u, t + \Delta t) - p_{jk}(u, t) = [-q_k(t)\Delta t + o(\Delta t)]p_{jk}(u, t)$$
$$+ \sum_{i \neq k} p_{ji}(u, t)[q_{ik}(t)\Delta t + o(\Delta t)]$$

Dividing by  $\Delta t$  and taking the limit as  $\Delta t \to 0$ ,

$$\frac{\partial p_{jk}(u,t)}{\partial t} = -q_k(t)p_{jk}(u,t) + \sum_{i \neq k} p_{ji}(u,t)q_{ik}(t)$$

which is the Kolmogorov forward equation (1.28a) Next, let  $u = t - \Delta t$  in (1.26)

and then introduce (1.27b), [or let s = t;  $t = u + \Delta u$ ]

$$p_{jk}(t - \Delta t, s) = \sum_{i} p_{ji}(t - \Delta t, t)p_{ik}(t, s)$$
$$= p_{jj}(t - \Delta t, t)p_{jk}(t, s) + \sum_{i \neq j} p_{ji}(t - \Delta t, t)p_{ik}(t, s)$$
$$= [1 - q_j(t)\Delta t + o(\Delta t)]p_{jk}(t, s) + \sum_{i \neq j} [q_{ji}(t)\Delta t + o(\Delta t)]p_{ik}(t, s)$$

Rewriting and dividing by  $\Delta t$ ,

$$\frac{p_{jk}(t-\Delta t,s)p_{jk}(t,s)}{\Delta t} = -q_j(t)p_{jk}(t,s) + \frac{o(\Delta t)}{\Delta t} + \sum_{i\neq j} \left[q_{ji}(t) + \frac{o(\Delta t)}{\Delta t}\right]p_{ik}(t,s)$$

Taking the limit as  $\Delta t \to 0$ ,

$$-\frac{\partial p_{jk}(t,s)}{\partial t} = -q_j(t)p_{jk}(t,s) + \sum_{i \neq j} q_{ji}(t)p_{ik}(t,s)$$

Let t = u and s = t to get the Kolmogorov backward equation (1.28b),

$$\frac{\partial p_{jk}(u,t)}{\partial u} = q_i(u)p_{jk}(u,t) - \sum_{i \neq j} q_{ji}(u)p_{ik}(u,t)$$

**1.21** Let

$$\boldsymbol{Q} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & -\lambda & \lambda & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda & \lambda & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $\boldsymbol{p}'(t) = \boldsymbol{p}(t)\boldsymbol{Q}$  gives

$$(p'_{0}(t), p'_{1}(t), \ldots) = (p_{0}(t), p_{1}(t), \ldots) \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & \lambda & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & -\lambda & \lambda & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda & \lambda & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \end{pmatrix},$$

which yields

$$p'_{0}(t) = -\lambda p_{0}(t), p'_{1}(t) = \lambda p_{0}(t) - \lambda p_{1}(t), p'_{2}(t) = \lambda p_{1}(t) - \lambda p_{2}(t), \vdots p'_{n}(t) = \lambda p_{n-1}(t) - \lambda p_{n}(t)$$

### 1.22 (a)

(b)

MARKOV CHAIN	To start a new problem, enter number of states and press button.
	Number of States: 2
	Enter transition probabilities, then press "Solve" button.
	Solve
Solution	Enter P Matrix below
p0 0.5 p1 0.5	0. 1. 1. 0.
MARKOV CHAIN	
	I o start a new problem, enter number of states and press button.
	Number of States: 2
	Enter transition probabilities, then press "Solve" button.
	Solve
Solution	Enter P Matrix below
p0 0.5 p1 0.5	0.5 0.5
MARKOV CHAIN	-
	To start a new problem, enter number of states
	and press button.
	Number of States: 2
	Enter transition probabilities, then press "Solve" button.
	Solve
Solution	Enter P Matrix below
p0 0.5 p1 0.5	0.333333 0.666667 0.666667 0.333333
	_
MARKOV CHAIN	To start a new problem, enter number of states
	and press button.
	Number of States: 4
	Enter transition probabilities, then press "Solve" button.
	Solve
Solution	Enter P Matrix below
p0 0.025615 p1 0.076844	0.25 0.2 0.12 0.43
p2 0.22541	0. 0.25 0.2 0.55
p3 0.672131	0. 0. 0.25 0.75

**1.23**  $i \ge 1$ :

 $\Pr\{\text{goes to state } i+1 \mid \text{in state } i \text{ and a transition occurs}\}$ 

$$= \frac{\Pr\{\text{goes to state } i+1 \text{ and a trans. occurs } | \text{ in state } i\}}{\Pr\{\text{trans. occurs } | \text{ in state } i\}}$$
$$= \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_i \Delta t + o(\Delta t)}{1 - [1 - \lambda_i \Delta t - \mu_i \Delta t + o(\Delta t)]} \right\} = \lim_{\Delta t \to 0} \left\{ \frac{\lambda_i + o(\Delta t)/\Delta t}{\lambda_i + \mu_i + o(\Delta t)/\Delta t} \right\} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i}$$

Similarly,  $\Pr\{\text{goes to state } i-1 \mid \text{in state } i \text{ and a transition occurs}\} = \cdots$ 

$$=\frac{\mu_i}{\lambda_i+\mu_i}.$$

Or, using the results of Problem 1.19a, two independent Poisson processes with parameters  $\lambda_i$  and  $\mu_i$ , respectively, yield

$$P\{\text{arrival before a departure}\} = \frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_i + \mu_i},$$
$$P\{\text{departure before an arrival}\} = \frac{\mu_i}{\lambda + \mu_i}.$$

1.24

$$P(T_{(1)} \le t) = 1 - (1 - t)^n$$
  
$$P(nT_{(1)} \le t) = P\left(T_{(1)} \le \frac{t}{n}\right) = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{t}{n}\right)^n.$$

Since

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left( 1 - \frac{t}{n} \right)^n = e^{-t},$$

then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} P(nT_{(1)} \le t) = 1 - e^{-t}$ , the exponential CDF.

1.25

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{\text{eff}} &= \lambda (1 - p_K) = .9; W = L/\lambda_{\text{eff}} = 5/.9 = 50/9\\ W_q &= W - 1/\mu = 50/9 - 1 = 41/9;\\ \rho_{\text{eff}} &= \lambda_{\text{eff}}/\mu = .9 \text{ and } p_0 = 1 - \rho_{\text{eff}} = .1. \end{split}$$

1.26 (a)  $CV = 1.6/2.25 = .71111 = 1/\sqrt{k}$ . Therefore,  $k = (1/.7111)^2 = 1.971$  (approximately 2). Now  $\beta = 1.6/\sqrt{2} = 1.13$ . Using the QtsPlus Basic Erlang Probability Calculator we get:

#### ERLANG(k) PROBABILITY CALCULATIONS



So  $Pr(Service \ge 5 \text{ min}) \approx 1 - 0.93 = .07$ .

(b) Using the QtsPlus Mixed Exponential Probability Computation Module (here we have a pure exponential or a mixture of 1):

MIXED EXPONENTIAL PROBABILITY COMPUTATIONS Note that we allow both regular exponential mixtures, which are convex combinations of negative exponential functions, as well as generalized mixtures where the proportionality constants may be negative although still summing to 1.

	Clear C	ld Data		
Inp	ut Parame	eters:	Plot Parameters:	
<b>p</b> i		θι	Maximum print/plot value	10
	1.	1.06	Results:	
			Mean value	1.06
			Second moment about zero	2.2472
			Variance	1.1236
			Coefficient of variation	1